USING TECHNOLOGY TO INCREASE SOCIAL INCLUSION FOR ADULTS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES LIVING IN A SUPPORTED INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM

> Courtney Bishop and Deanna Flag Presentation at Shadow Lake September 26, 2019



MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY

Acknowledgements

Ontario Trillium Foundation

COMMUNITY LIVINC

An agency of the Government of Ontario Un organisme du gouvernement de l'Ontario Technology and Persons with IDD: What's the big deal? Persons with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities are:

- More likely to be socially excluded from their communities
- Less likely to have natural support networks and friends
- More likely to be dependent on others for support
- Social isolation of persons with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (IDD) has been linked to increased costs in social, economic and health domains

Technology and Persons with IDD: What's the big deal?

- Personal and environmental barriers to social inclusion:
 - Functioning level
 - Adaptive living skills
 - Barrier to transportation
 - Influence of stigma
 - Influence of staff involvement on community participation

(Badia et al., 2011; Van Asselt, Buchanan, & Peterson, 2015;)

Technology and Persons with IDD: What's the big deal?

- There are many benefits to using <u>technology</u> to support skill development and to decrease the environmental barriers associated with social exclusion
 - Only 10% of of individuals with IDD have access to technology related assistive devices

The Pilot Project - 2016 (Overview)





Community Living Haldimand was interested in finding creative and evidence based practices for introducing technology to persons with IDD

- Established partnership with Brock University
- Obtained a Trillium Seed Grant
- Participant skill set was determined and they were matched with a specialized app
- Participants with IDD and their staff were given a training session on how to use their new smart phone and pebble watch
- Duration Data was taken for a month and a two focus groups were conducted

Pilot Project - 2016 Results (Duration Data)

Duration of interaction/support with the participants was reduced for:

- Updating and maintaining participants schedule
- For time spent providing participants with reminders related to both work and activities of daily living

Duration of interaction/support with participants was increased for:

• Supporting discussions of monetary value.



2016 Pilot Project Results (Focus Group)



Participants (staff and individuals with IDD) recommended:

- More individualized technology and apps
- Increased training for supports to assist with using technology through behavioral skills training
- Providing individuals with direct behavior supports for a longer period of time
- Having a coordinator that is devoted to the project to provide technology related support throughout the day
- Have additional support to collect data

Increasing Community Engagement through Technology Use 2.0

- Obtained a Trillium Grow grant to increase community participation and independence with the support of individualized technologies and apps
- 35 participants from Supported
 Independent Living
 - 15 participants have completed the project and have successfully reduced the need for staff support related to identified skill to 0%.
 - The remaining 20 have had their target skills identified, tech assessments completed
 - 2 groups with 6 individuals have started in this phase

Increasing Community Engagement through Technology Use 2.0

hy Unknown Author is licensed under

Participants were provided with one of the following: an iPad_®, iPad mini_®, and or an iPhone_® (based on strengths, needs and use).

- Technology assessments (developed by Project Coordinator) were used to assist in matching technology to individual needs and strengths.
- Participants are provided with time to see and feel the different options before making a choice about technology

Increasing Community Engagement through Technology Use 2.0 All staff participants supporting individuals with IDD have been trained using a behavioral skills training model, where they are taught principles of Applied Behavior Analysis, such as:

- How to develop a task analysis
- Reinforcement and Matching Law
- How to introduce technology and fade supports using most-least prompting. will be trained to support the introduction and fading of the technology and the apps
- Individuals and staff participants are provided with weekly support from Behavior Consultants

Increasing Community Engagement through Technology Use 2.0

Multiple-Probe across Participants

- Participants are introduced to technology ONE at a time
- Participant in the intervention phase is provided with weekly support from a Behavior Consultant
- Once a decrease is seen in the amount of direct support provided to skill set, support for technology use is faded
- The support then moves to next individual in the group
- Multiple probe data is taken throughout for all participants

Increasing Community Engagement through Technology Use 2.0

Multiple-Probe Baseline across Participant

- Allows us to provide more support to one individual at a time
- The first individual becomes the control for the next participant and so on
- This helps to illustrate that the changes in staff support are due to the introduction of technology and not to other possible changes in the participant's environment



Results

- Participants require between 30% and 100% direct support for the target skill prior to the introduction of technology
- Within 5 weeks of the technology being introduced, participants required 0% direct support for the target skill













References

- Badia, M., Orgaz, B. M., Verdugo, M. A., Ullán, A. M., & Martínez, M. M. (2011). Personal factors and perceived barriers to participation in leisure activities for young and adults with developmental disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 32(6), 2055–2063. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.08.007</u>
- Maich, K., Rutherford, C., & Bishop, C. (In Press, March 2019). Phones, watches, and apps: Engaging everyday mobile / assistive technology for adults with intellectual and/or developmental disabilities. *Exceptionality Education International*.
- Owuor, J., Larkan, F., Kayabu, B., Fitzgerald, G., Sheaf, G., Dinsmore, J., ... Maclachlan, M. (2018). Does assistive technology contribute to social inclusion for people with intellectual disability? A systematic review protocol. *BMJ Open*, 8(2), 1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017533</u>
- Van Asselt, D., Buchanan, A., & Peterson, S. (2015). Enablers and barriers of social inclusion for young adults with intellectual disability: A multidimensional view. *Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability*, *40*(1), 37–48. https://doi.org/10.3109/13668250.2014.994170
- Wilson, N. J., Jaques, H., Johnson, A., & Brotherton, M. L. (2016). From Social Exclusion to Supported Inclusion: Adults with Intellectual Disability Discuss Their Lived Experiences of a Structured Social Group. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*. https://doi.org/10.1111/jar.12275